

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | TION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/814,014 | 03/30/2004 | | Michael Weinberger | LOJM-0462 | 5570 |
| Michael Weinb | 7590 erger | 08/01/2007 | EXAMINER | | |
| 236 West 26th | Street | | TOOMER, CEPHIA D | | |
| New York, NY 10001 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1714 | • |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | | 08/01/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|--------------------|----|
| 10/814,014 | WEINBERGER, MICHAE | EL |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Cephia D. Toomer | 1714 | |

| | | Cepilla D. Tooliici | 1717 | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE F | REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. ⊠ | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | 06.07(f). | | |
| nave bunder set for may re | soling of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriprially set in the final Off | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. 🔲 | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| 3. 🛛 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause |
| _ | (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | |
| | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | • | the issues for |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | • |
| 4. 🔲 | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. 🔲 | | | | |
| 6. 🗌 | non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. 🔯 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profite the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4,5,9 and 10. | | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| | DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. 🔲 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence | ot be entered is necessary and |
| 9. 🔲 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ails to provide a |
| 10. [| ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | |
| REQ | UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. 🏻 | The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | ince because: |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714 | Toomes |
| | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims in such a manner that a new search is required...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed to be persuasive because Applicant is arguing limitations that were not present in the claims when the Final Office action was mailed.